

United States Patent and Trademark Office

(m)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,503	(06/29/2001	Lawrence J. Ronk	TI-30890	9240
23494	7590	08/29/2006		EXAMINER	
		ENTS INCORPOR	ATED	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
POBOX 6:	55474, M/S	S 3999			
DALLAS,	TX 75265	5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)					
Notific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	09/896,503	RONK ET AL.				
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kanjibhai Patek	2624				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	address			
The Ap	peal Brief filed on <u>16 August 2006</u> is defective fo	or failure to comply with one or m	nore provisions o	f 37 CFR 41.37.			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from tISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRAI	he mailing date of this Notificatio	•				
1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2.	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).						
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of the	he above items):					
	See Continuation Sheet.						
			rett R. Williams/ ett R. Williams				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 7-05)

Patent Appeals Specialist 571-272-3619

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-462)

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): (4)IN SECTION V Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter: The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in the independent claim involved in the appeal. The Independent claim (1,) shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. (5)**>(vi) Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal. The concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review needs correcting. Each ground of rejection presented for review in this section should include citations of the statutes, regulations, authorities, and parts of the record relied < For example, the statement ** "Whether claims 1 and 2 are unpatentable" would not comply with **>therule, while the statements< "Whether claims 1 and 2 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Smith in view of Jones," would comply with the rule.